ARNALL GOLDEN & GREGORY, LLP

2800 ONE ATLANTIC CENTER
1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET • ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309-3450

TELEPHONE (404) 873-8500 • FACSIMILE (404) 873-8501 45 9 1

FIRST LIBERTY BANK TOWER
SUITE 1000
201 SECOND STREET
MACON, GEORGIA 31201
(912) 745-3344

99 JUNIER PIREAT PLAT NUMBER 404-873-8690 WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL FACSIMILE 404-873-8691

June 16, 1999

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305) Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Re: Pr

Proposed Rule on Foreign Establishment

Registration and Product Listing -- Docket No. 98N-1215

Dear Sir or Madam:

Arnall Golden & Gregory, LLP submits these comments in response to the Food and Drug Administration's proposed rule, issued on May 14, 1999, concerning foreign establishment registration and product listing. 64 Fed. Reg. 26330. We appreciate the Agency's efforts to implement the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act's (FDAMA's) provisions on foreign establishment registration and agree with most of the proposal's contents. However, for the reasons to be discussed, we recommend that FDA clarify that the regulations, when finalized, will apply only to foreign establishments whose products are imported or offered for import and that are commercially distributed in the United States. Conversely, the regulations would not apply to foreign establishments whose products are imported or offered for import, but that are not commercially distributed in the U.S. For example, FDA would not require the registration of a foreign firm that imports a product into a U.S. Foreign Trade Zone (FTZ) for holding and then exports the good to another country without being sold in this country. Similarly, the Agency should clarify that a foreign firm must only list imported products that are marketed in the U.S.

For simplicity, we will refer to establishment registration to include both registration and product listing. The reasons for our recommendations described in these comments are the same and apply equally in both cases.

According to the proposal, FDA would require foreign establishments to register with FDA if their products are imported or offered for import into the U.S. <u>See</u> Proposed 21 C.F.R. §§ 207.40, 607.40, and 807.40. In addition, the Agency would require foreign establishments to identify a U.S. agent. <u>Id.</u> The proposed rule also describes some of the agent's responsibilities.

The proposed rule does not specifically address whether a foreign establishment must register with FDA and designate a U.S. agent if the imported product is not sold in the U.S. However, based on informal discussions with FDA, it appears that the Agency has concluded

C3

that registration would be required, even though the product is not offered for commercial distribution in the U.S. We respectfully disagree with this conclusion.

1. There is no statutory requirement that foreign establishments register with FDA if the imported products are not marketed in the U.S.

FDAMA amended section 510(i) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDC Act) to read, in part:

- (1) Any establishment within any foreign country engaged in the manufacture, preparation, propagation, compounding, or processing of a drug or device that is imported or offered for import into the United States shall register with the Secretary the name and place of business of the establishment and the name of the United States agent for the establishment.
- (2) The establishment shall also provide the information required by subsection (j).

21 U.S.C. § 360(i)(1)-(2).

Section 510(i) does not expressly state, "imported or offered for import into the United States for commercial distribution"; rather, the section is silent on this point. However, section 510(j), referenced in new section 510(i)(2), suggests that only firms which manufacture, prepare, propagate, compound, or process products for commercial distribution must register. Specifically, according to section 510(j), companies that perform the aforementioned activities "for commercial distribution" must list their products with FDA. 21 U.S.C. § 360(j). That is, a product which is sold in the U.S. must be listed and the facility that manufactured that product must be registered; if a product is not sold here, listing and registration are not required. For example, a foreign company might export a product into a U.S. FTZ without marketing it in the U.S. In this type of case, the FDC Act suggests that the foreign establishment should not be required to register with FDA. This interpretation is consistent with the Congressional intent underlying the passage of FDAMA, which, in part, was to reduce administrative burdens on industry and FDA. The interpretation is also consistent with the common understanding that the FDC Act should appropriately ensure the safety and effectiveness of drugs and devices of foreign origin that will be commercially distributed in the U.S. but need not extend beyond this purpose.

2. FDA should defer to the regulatory authorities in the exporting or destination country to determine whether a foreign establishment must register when the product is imported into, but is not commercially distributed in, the U.S.

FDA clearly has jurisdiction over companies that manufacture products sold in the U.S. However, when the imported products are not sold or manufactured in the U.S. but, rather, are exported to another country, FDA's control over these firms should be limited. For example, a company in France ships a product to a U.S. FTZ for holding before it is exported to Japan. The product is not sold in the U.S. and nothing is done to the product here (e.g., no changes to specifications). In this case, the regulatory authorities in France or Japan, or both, might require the company to register its establishment so that the appropriate government agency might monitor and inspect the manufacturing facility. However, registration with FDA would not be justified, because the product will neither be produced nor sold in the U.S. Therefore, in a scenario where a product is imported but is not commercially distributed in the U.S., FDA should defer to the regulatory authorities in the exporting or destination country, or both, to establish a registration procedure, if appropriate.

3. There is no reason for FDA to require foreign establishments to register that merely import products into the U.S., but which do not market these products in the U.S.

In addition to the aforementioned reasons, FDA should not require the registration of foreign establishments that do not market their products in the U.S. because it is simply not necessary. As previously noted, the exporting and destination countries can impose their own registration requirements on foreign manufacturers that do business in their territories. Moreover, under section 510(i)(3), the Secretary of Health and Human Services (and, presumably by delegation, FDA) is authorized

to enter into cooperative arrangements with officials of foreign countries to ensure that adequate and effective means are available for purposes of determining, from time to time, whether drugs or devices manufactured, prepared, propagated, compounded, or processed by an establishment described in paragraph (1) [i.e., the type of foreign establishment described above], if imported or offered for import into the United States, shall be refused admission on any of the grounds set forth in section 801(a) [of the FDC Act].

21 U.S.C. § 360(i)(3). That is, the FDC Act provides that FDA may work with other countries to control the importation of products, presumably for commercial distribution in the U.S.

There are regulatory safeguards already in place to provide that a foreign establishment must register with the appropriate regulatory authorities if its products are commercially distributed in those countries, including the U.S. Because these protections exist, any attempt by FDA to require foreign establishments to register here even if they do not sell products in the U.S. is not necessary. Such a requirement would only impose an additional administrative burden on industry and FDA without providing a significant countervailing benefit, which is contrary to what Congress intended when it enacted FDAMA. Of course, if an imported good enters commercial distribution in the U.S. and the firm is not registered with the Agency, FDA has the authority to take appropriate action.

* * * * *

In conclusion, we respectfully request that FDA clarify, whether in the Preamble to the Final Rule or in the final regulation itself, that foreign establishments are not required to register with FDA if the imported products are not introduced for commercial distribution in the U.S.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments to FDA's proposed rule. Please feel free to contact me at (404) 873-8690 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Alan G. Minsk

Ala Mink

AGM/mkm

846483.1

bcc: Dave Jespersen

CERTIFIED

P 291 267 329

MAH

RETURN RECOIPT REPUSTED



FIRST CLASS MAIL

ARNALL GOLDEN & GREGORY, LLP

2800 ONE ATLANTIC CENTER

1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET • ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309-3450

AGM

TO:

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305) Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061 Rockville, Maryland 20852